

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/749,958                             | 12/30/2003                        | Valery M. Dubin       | 42P18397            | 8584             |
| ****                                   | 7590 04/26/200<br>KOLOFF TAYLOR & | EXAMINER              |                     |                  |
| 12400 WILSHI                           | RE BOULEVARD                      | BAREFORD, KATHERINE A |                     |                  |
| SEVENTH FLO                            | OOR<br>S, CA 90025-1030           | ·                     | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 20071110222                            | 0, 0.1, 00025 1050                |                       | 1762                |                  |
|  |                                   |                       | ,                   | ·                |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE |                                   | MAIL DATE             | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 31 D                                   | AYS                               | 04/26/2007            | PAPER               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|    | , | / |
|----|---|---|
| 4  | í |   |
| /) |   |   |
| •  |   |   |

|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 10/749,958   | DUBIN ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |  | Katherine A. Bareford  | 1762   |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL<br>CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D<br>resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.<br>Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period<br>re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute<br>reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine<br>and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u>   | •  | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3)  | · <del></del>  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠   | Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   | wn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| 5)  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)□   | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc  | epted or b) objected to by the   | Examiner.  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | xaminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |
| Priority ι  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/749,958

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-7, drawn to a method of forming a particle, classified in class 75, subclass 343.
  - II. Claims 8-14, drawn to a method of forming nanotubes, classified in class427, subclass 402.
  - III. Claims 15-21, drawn to a method of depositing a particle, classified in class 427, subclass 58.
  - IV. Claims 22-25, drawn to a nanotube product, classified in class 428, subclass 357.
  - V. Claims 26-30, drawn to a metal particle product, classified in class 75, subclass 245.
  - VI. Claims 31-35, drawn to a method of depositing, classified in class 427, subclass 437.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Invention I and Invention V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2)

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another an materially different, non-electrochemical process, such as casting.

- 3. Invention I and Inventions II, III, IV and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and effects: Invention I requires a metal particle made by an electrochemical process not required by Invention II, which also requires nanotube growth not required by Invention I requires a metal particle made by an electrochemical process not required by Invention III, which also requires a depositing process not required by Invention I requires a metal particle made by an electrochemical process not required by Invention IV, which also requires nanotube features not required by Invention I requires a metal particle made by an electrochemical process not required by Invention I requires a metal particle made by an electrochemical process not required by Invention I requires a metal particle made by an electrochemical process not required by Invention I requires a metal particle made by an electrochemical process not required by Invention I requires a metal particle made by an electrochemical
- 4. Invention II and Invention IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different

product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by growing two nanotube portions from a single location without having to join the two nanotubes together as required by the process claims.

- 5. Invention II and Inventions III, V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and effects: Invention II requires a process of forming nanotubes of different diameters not required Invention III, which also requires a depositing process not required by Invention II; Invention II requires a process of forming nanotubes of different diameters not required by Invention V, which also requires particle features not required by Invention II; and Invention II requires a process of forming nanotubes of different diameters not required by Invention VI, which also requires an extracting process not required by Invention II.
- 6. Invention III and Inventions IV, V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and effects: Invention III requires a depositing process not required by Invention IV, which also

requires a nanotube system of two different diameters not required by Invention III; Invention III requires a depositing process not required by Invention V, which also requires particle features not required by Invention III; and Invention III requires a depositing process not required by Invention VI, which also requires an extracting process not required by Invention III.

- 7. Invention IV and Inventions V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and effects: Invention IV requires a nanotube product with two diameters not required by Invention V, which also requires particle features not required by Invention IV requires a nanotube product with two diameters not required by Invention VI, which also requires an extracting process not required by Invention IV.
- 8. Invention V and Invention VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and effects: Invention IV requires a metal particle product not required by Invention VI, which also requires an extracting process not required by Invention V.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,958

Art Unit: 1762

13

Page 6

9. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Species

- 10. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: As to Invention VI, the following species are provided:
  - (a) extracting by immersing the substrate in the bath (as in claim 32)
  - (b) extracting by pouring the bath over the substrate (as in claim 33)
  - (c) extracting by filtering (as in claim 34)
  - (d) extracting by centrifugation (as in claims 34, 35)
  - (e) extracting by evaporating (as in claim 34)
  - (f) extracting by mechanically agitating the bath (as in claim 34).

The species are independent or distinct because each species requires a different method of extraction that does not overlap with the other methods.

<u>IF APPLICANT ELECTS INVENTION VI</u>: Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, in Invention VI, claim 31 is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,958

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

11. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, due to the complexity of the restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine A. Bareford whose telephone number is (571) 272-1413. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(6:00-3:30) with the First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Other inquiries can be directed to the Tech Center 1700 telephone number at (571) 272-1700.

Furthermore, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(ATHERINE BAREFORD PRIMARY EXAMINER